

MINUTES OF THE 62nd MEETING OF SEAC, KERALA, HELD ON 06th & 07th SEPTEMBER, 2016, AT HARITHASREE HALL, DIRECTORATE OF ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

The 62nd meeting of the SEAC commenced at 10.00 am with Sri. V. Gopinathan, in the chair. The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the proceedings of the Committee.

Item No. 62.00

Approval of Minutes: The minutes of the 61st SEAC meetings were read over, corrections made as suggested by the members and approved.

Item No. 62.01

Environmental clearance for the Oceanarium and Marine Biological Research Centre project in Sy. No. 238/4 at Puthuvypeen Village, Kochi Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by State Fisheries Resource Management Society (FIRMA) (File No. 326/SEIAA/KL/2001/2014)

Project Proponent : State Fisheries Resource Management Society (FIRMA)

The Director, State Fisheries Resource Management Society (FIRMA) along with his staff attended the meeting and made a power point presentation. The Committee observed that for proceeding further in the matter clearances from the CZMA and Central Zoo Authority are required. Hence the Committee decided to defer the item for enabling the proponents to produce the above documents.

Item No. 62.02

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 66/1364/4P, 66/1364/5P, 66/1364/7P and 66/1364/8P at Killannur Village, Mulamkunnathu Kavu Panchayath, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala application of Sri. K. J. Vasudevan Nair, Managing Partner, M/s K. J. Vasudevan Nair Granites (File No. 993/SEIAA/EC1/4862/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. K. J. Vasudevan Nair, M/s K. J. Vasudevan Nair Granites

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent along with the RQP was present in the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation of the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the mining plan, pre-feasibility report and all other

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

Padma Mahanti

Secretary

State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

documents submitted along with the Form I application. The committee decided to defer the item and the proponent was asked to submit the following additional documents.

1. Since the site is reported to be within 10kms of Peechi-Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary proof of application preferred for wildlife clearance.
2. Since the proponent is already operating another quarry in the adjoining lease area a composite mine plan for the whole area has to be produced for more scientific and environmental friendly mining.

Item No. 62.03 Environmental clearance for the proposed Common Biomedical Waste treatment plant in Block No. 37, Sy No. 205 at Puthenkurissu Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam district-by Dr. N K Pillai, M/s Kerala Enviro Infrastructure Limited (File No. 1036 (A)/SEIAA/EC3 /502/2016)

Project Proponent : Dr. N K Pillai, M/s Kerala Enviro Infrastructure Limited

Consultant : Shivalik Solid Waste Management Ltd.

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and the Consultant attended the meeting. A power point presentation about the salient features of the project was made. The Terms of Reference (ToR) have already been approved by the MoEF. They had insisted on a public hearing which the proponent has not complied with citing that the facility is coming up in an approved industrial park. But the Committee decided to insist for an exemption in this regard from the Ministry and decided to DEFER the item for field visit and for production of the above exemption from the Ministry.

Item No. 62.04 Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Re. Sy. Nos. 388/6-1, 13, 5 and 15 at Maadappally Village, Changanassery Taluk, Kottayam District, Kerala by Sri. E. K. K. Mohammed (File No. 505/ SEIAA/EC4/3608/2014)

Project Proponent : Sri. E. K. K. Mohammed

The Committee noticed that the documents contain only a copy of the earlier application. The committee decided to reiterate the earlier decision under the agenda item 39.16 of the 39th SEAC meeting held on 14th & 15th May 2015.

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

Padma Mahanti
Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Item No. 62.05

Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Sy.No.528/12, 529/7, 528/9-5, 529/8, 528/9-2, 528/9-3, 528/4-2, 528/4-3, 528/4-4, 528/9-1, 528/4-5, 529/5, 529/6, 528/9-4 at Pattimattom Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam, Kerala by Sri. P. S. Seban (File No. 937.A/SEIAA/EC3/ 4019/2016)

Project Proponent : Sri. P. S. Seban

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and noticed that large scale removal of earth is likely to cause lasting damage to the water regime of the locality. Therefore SEAC had taken a decision to recommend large scale removal of earth up to 2m depth only if consents are produced from the adjoining land owners. In all other cases recommendations are made limiting the average depth of removal to 1 m. In the case under consideration the proponent has not produced consents from the adjoining land owners. The Thahsildhar has also reported that there is an ongoing case under Kerala Land Conservation Act and there are no conclusive recommendations for the removal of the earth. Therefore the Committee decided to **recommend to reject** the proposal.

Item No. 62.06

Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in B-10, Sy.No.49/9 at Myanagappally Village, Kunnathur Taluk, Kollam, Kerala by Sri. Alex.F (File No. 1044/SEIAA/EC3/742/2016)

Project Proponent : Sri. Alex. F

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **recommend for issuance of Environmental Clearance** for removal of required amount of earth to ease the existing gradient.

Item No. 62.07

Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Re. Sy. No. 204/1, 204/5 at Ikkananadu North Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam, Kerala by Sri. Jacob Varkey (File No. 1048/SEIAA/EC3/905/2016.)

Project Proponent : Sri. Jacob Varkey

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend for issuance of Environmental Clearance** for removal of 7000 m³ of ordinary earth subject to the condition that removal should be in terraced manner limiting maximum depth of removal to 2m.

Padma Mahanta

Secretary

**State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala**

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

Item No. 62.08

Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in B/22, Sy.No.158/4, 5-2, 162/1, 2 at Arackappadi Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam, Kerala by Sri. K.O.Joseph (File No. 1049 /SEIAA/EC3/ 929/2016)

Project Proponent : Sri. K. O. Joseph

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend for issuance of Environmental Clearance** for removal of 12000 m³ of ordinary earth subject to the condition that removal should be in terraced manner limiting maximum depth of removal to 2m.

Item No. 62.10

Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in B/22, Sy.No. 161/5,161/8-2 at Arackappadi Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam, Kerala by Sri. Kunju Muhammed (File No. 1050/SEIAA/EC3/930/2016)

Project Proponent : Sri. Kunju Muhammed

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend for issuance of Environmental Clearance** for removal of 6000 m³ of ordinary earth subject to the condition that removal should be in terraced manner limiting maximum depth of removal to 2m.

Item No. 62.11

Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in B/22, Sy.No.161/1-4,161/1-3-1 at Arackappadi Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam, Kerala by Sri. Pareekunju (File No. 1051/SEIAA/EC3/ 931/2016)

Project Proponent : Sri. Pareekunju

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend for issuance of Environmental Clearance** for removal of 5000 m³ of ordinary earth subject to the condition that removal should be in terraced manner limiting maximum depth of removal to 2m.

Item No. 62.12

Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in B/22, Re.Sy.No. 161/1-5, 3-2, 3-3, 3-4 at Arackappadi Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam, Kerala by Sri. Kunjikochu (File No. 1052/SEIAA/EC3/ 932/2016)

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

Padma Maranthi

Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Project Proponent : Sri. Kunjikochu

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend for issuance of Environmental Clearance** for removal of 7000 m³ of ordinary earth subject to the condition that removal should be in terraced manner limiting maximum depth of removal to 2 m.

Item No. 62.13 Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Sy.No. 282/3 at Kaippattur Village, Kanayannur Taluk, Ernakulam, Kerala by Sri. Jijo Abraham (File No. 1055/ SEIAA/EC3/ 1004/2016)

Project Proponent : Sri. Jijo Abraham

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **defer** the item for field visit.

Item No. 62.14 Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Sy.No. 162/3 at Ikkaranadu North Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam, Kerala by Sri. Abraham (File No. 1057/ SEIAA/EC3/ 1038/2016.)

Project Proponent : Sri. Abraham

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend for issuance of Environmental Clearance** for removal of 8000 m³ of ordinary earth subject to the condition that removal should be in terraced manner limiting maximum depth of removal to 2m.

Item No. 62.15 Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Sy. No. 47/P at Cheruthuruthy Village, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri. P. B. Sukumaran (File No. 975/SEIAA/EC1/ 4548/2015)

Project Proponent: Sri. P. B. Sukumaran

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and noticed that the proponent has not submitted necessary documents to prove that the land is exempted from the purview of Kerala Conservation of Paddy Land & Wetland Act, 2008. Hence the Committee decided to **Recommend to reject** the proposal.

Padma Mahanta
Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

Item No. 62.16 Environmental clearance for the Proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 166/2, 166/2-6, 166/2-7, 166/2-9, 166/2-10 at koodal Village, Adoor Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by Mr. P.J.Jacob (File No. 912/SEIAA/EC4/3648/2015)

Project Proponent: Sri. P. J. Jacob.

The committee appraised the proposal based on the mining plan, pre-feasibility report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application and decided to **DEFER** the item for field visit.

Item No. 62.17 Environmental clearance for Building Plan in Sy. Nos. 534/10 & 534/10-2 at Thiruvandoor Village and Thiruvandoor Panchayath, Chenganoor Taluk, Alappuzha District, Kerala by Sri. Reji, K. J. for Dr. K. M. Cherian, Institute of Medical Sciences. (File No. 1008/SEIAA/EC3/5102/2015)

Project Proponent: Sri.Reji.K.J for Dr.K.M.Cherian Institute of Medical Sciences.

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend for issuance of Environmental Clearance** subject to the general conditions and the specific condition that the parking facility should enhance as committed in the revised plan.

Item No. 62.18 Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy.No.67 (Pt) at Kakkad Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala by Sri. P.K. Abdul Razack(File No. 660/SEIAA/EC4/5175/2014)

Project Proponent : Sri. P. K. Abdul Razack

The committee appraised the proposal based on the minutes of 56th meeting of SEIAA held on 23rd July 2016, mining plan, pre-feasibility report, and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application and decided to **defer** the item for field visit.

Item No. 62.24 Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 2981/A, A1A of Ambalavayal Village, Sulthan Bathery Taluk, Wayanad District, for Quarry of Sri. Clippy, K. G. File No. (903/EC4/3464/SEIAA/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Clippy, K. G.

The committee appraised the proposal based on the mining plan, pre-feasibility report, field inspection report and all other documents submitted by the proponent. The Committee

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

Padma Mahanta

Secretary

State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)

decided to request the proponent to mark the boundary in the field and intimate, so that the subcommittee can conduct a proper site inspection. Hence the item was **deferred**.

Item No. 62.25

Environmental clearance for the proposed expansion of existing medical campus project in Sy. Nos. 250/1, 1-1, 2, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 251/1-7, 1-8, 253/1, 1-1, 2-1, 2-2 at Mel-Thonnakkal Village, Mangalapuram Panchayath, Trivandrum District, Kerala by Sri. E. M. Najeeb for M/s KIMS healthcare management Limited (File No. 977/SEIAA/EC1/4552/2015)

Project Proponent: Sri. E. M. Najeeb for M/s KIMS Healthcare Management Limited.

The Committee after examining the Form I, Form IA, Conceptual plan, Field Inspection Report, sub Committee Report and the other documents and details provided by the proponent, decided to **defer** the item so as to enable the proponent to satisfy the following observation in the site inspection report of the sub-committee.

'The proponent has submitted that they have provided parking space more than that is required under the 'Manual on norms and standards for environment clearance of large construction projects'. They may be requested to substantiate this with a parking plan drawn to scale satisfying the requirements provided in the Chapter 3 of the Manual and Chapter 3 of National Building Code of India and operational/ non-operational requirements for parking.'

Item No. 62.26

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 267/1(pt), 267/2(pt), 269/1(pt), 270/1, 270/2(pt), 270/3(pt), 270/4(pt), 270/5(pt), 270/6(pt) & 276/1(pt) at Nediyruppu Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District by Sri. Muhammed Haneefa (File No. 730/SEIAA/EC1/02/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Muhammed Haneefa

The recommendation of the Committee with regards to the violation was made keeping in mind the decision of the Hon High court on 07.12.15 in WP© 34463 of 2015. In the above judgement Hon Court has struck down the provision to rule 12 of the Mining Rules. The committee was not aware of the decision of the Hon. Supreme Court in SLP 33130/15 pointed out by SEIAA. The Secretariat is seldom able to timely place such information before the Committee. However now on perusing the order the Committee is of the opinion that the above order cannot be interpreted as an order directing renewal permits without E.C. Moreover so long as the High Court Judgment on 07/12/15 is not stayed by the Supreme Court it prevails.

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

Padma Malant
Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

The Committee pointed out the violation because the proponent himself admitted that the quarry was in operation without EC. In his light of the above facts the SEIAA may take an appropriate decision in the matter.

The meeting ended at 5.00 pm with vote of thanks to the Chairman and Members.

Padma Mahanti
Smt. Padma Mahanti IFS

PADMA MAHANTI IFS
DIRECTOR
Directorate of Environment & Climate Change
Pettah, Thiruvananthapuram-24

[Signature]
Sri. Gopinathan IFS (Rtd)

Chairman
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

List of members present 1st Day (06.09.2016)

- | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. Dr. Keshav Mohan | 5. Sri. John Mathai |
| 2. Dr. E. A. Jayson | 6. Dr. K. M. Khaleel Chovva |
| 3. Sri. P. Sreekumaran Nair | 7. Dr. Oommen V. Oommen |
| 4. Dr. George Chackacherry | 8. Dr.P.S.Harikumar |

Day 2 – 07/09/2016

Item No. 62.09

Environmental Clearance for Master Plan development of an IT/ITES SEZ township ("Infopark Phase-2 Campus") project by INFOPARKS KERALA (Fully owned by Govt. of Kerala) Survey Nos. 79, 80, 82, 83, 84,85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 96, 97, 98, 102, 103,104, 144, 145, 146 in Puthencruz Village in Block 37 inVadavucode Puthencruz Grama Panchayat and Survey Nos.365, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377,378, 379, 380, 381, 384 in Kunnathunadu Village in Block 36 in Kunnathunadu Grama Panchayat Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri. Mr. Hrishikesh Nair Chief Executive Officer, M/s Infoparks Kerala (1061/EC3/149/SEIAA/2016)

Padma Mahanti

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Project Proponent : Sri.Hrishikesh Nair, M/s Infoparks Kerala
Consultant: Environmental Engineers and consultants Pvt.Ltd.

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and the Consultant attended the meeting and the Consultant made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. *The Committee appraised the Terms of Reference (ToR) and decided to approve the draft ToR.*

Item No. 62.19 Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 575/1-2 & 569/1-1-16, 569/1-1-16-2 at Konnithazham & Iravon Village, Konni Panchayath, Konni Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by Mr.N.Asokan, Managing Partner, M/s.A.S.Granites (File No. 890/SEIAA/EC4/3321/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. N. Asokan, M/s.A.S.Granites

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent along with the RQP was present in the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation of the salient features of the project. The committee appraised the proposal based on the mining plan, pre-feasibility report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application and decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to the general conditions and the specific condition that a revised CSR activities addressing the needs of the locality shall be submitted before the SEIAA.

Item No. 62.20 Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 42/2 pt, 42/3 pt & 43/ 4 pt. at Oorakam Village and Panchayat, Thirurangadi Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala application of Sri. Basil Paul (Managing Partner), M/s Popular Sand and Metals (File No. 874/SEIAA/EC1/3105/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Basil Paul, M/s Popular Sand and Metals

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent along with the RQP was present in the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation of the salient features of the project. The committee appraised the proposal based on the mining plan, pre-feasibility report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application. The Committee **deferred** the item for field visit. Sri. John Mathai will also join the sub-committee during the site visit. The CSR activities have to be revised to meet the local needs.

Item No. 62.21 Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No.1618 (Not Final) at Koodaranji Village, Koodaranji Panchayath, Thamarassery

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

Padma Mahanti
Secretary
 State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC),
 Kerala

Taluk, Kozhikode District by Sri.Abdul Muneer .C (FileNo.862/SEIAA /EC4/ 2991/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Abdul Muneer, C.

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent along with the RQP was present in the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation of the salient features of the project. The committee appraised the proposal based on the mining plan, pre-feasibility report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application. The Committee **deferred** the item for field visit. Sri. John Mathai will also join the sub-committee during the site visit. The CSR activities have to be revised to meet the local needs.

Item No. 62.22 Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 336/2, 343/8 pt., 344/9 pt., 344/9-37, 344/10, 344/26, 344/27, 344/28, Aruvikkara Village & Panchayat, Nedumangad Taluk, Trivandrum District, Kerala application of Sri. V. Somasekharan Nair, Proprietor, M/s Blue Star Industries (File No. 858/SEIAA/EC1/2987/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. V. Somasekharan Nair, Proprietor, M/s Blue Star Industries

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent along with the RQP was present in the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation of the salient features of the project. The committee appraised the proposal based on the mining plan, pre-feasibility report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application. The Committee **deferred** the item for field visit. A revision of the CSR activities was also suggested to meet suit the local needs.

Item No. 62.23 Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 4/1pt, 4/3, 4/5 pt., 4/6 pt., 4/8, 4/9 pt., 4/11 pt., 4/12 pt., 61/3 pt., 61/5 pt., 61/8 pt., 62/2 pt., 62/3 pt., 62/4 pt. & 62/5 pt. at Uzhamalackal Village, Nedumangad Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala application of Sri. M. Nizarudeen, Managing Director, M/s Ponmudi Blue Metals Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 873/SEIAA/EC1/3104/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. M. Nizarudeen, M/s Ponmudi Blue Metals Pvt. Ltd

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent along with the RQP was present in the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation of the salient features of the project. The committee appraised the proposal based on the mining plan, pre-feasibility report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application. The Committee **deferred** the item for

Padma Mahanti

Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

field visit. Since the Peppara wildlife sanctuary is within 10kms, proof of having applied for the wildlife clearance from MoEF &CC has to be produced. The Committee also suggested revision of CSR activities.

Item No. 62.27

Environmental Clearance for the proposed Granite Building Stone quarry project in Sy. Nos. 197/2, 198/2, 203/3 and 369/1(P) at Koppam Village, Ottapalam Taluk (New Taluk – Pattambi), Palakkad District, Kerala by M/s Marath Enterprises and Crushers Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 310/SEIAA/KL/1693/2014)

Project Proponent: Sri. P. K. Subramanian, M/s Marath Enterprises & Crushers Pvt. Ltd

&

Item No. 62.29

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. No. 114 at Thirumittacode 2 Village, Pattambi Taluk, Palakkad District by Mrs. Elsy Joseph, Managing Director for M/s Malayakam Granites Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 929/SEIAA/EC1/3895 /2015)

Project Proponent : Mrs. Elsy Joseph, M/s Malayakam Granites Pvt. Ltd

The Committee after examining the minutes of 55th & 56th meetings of SEIAA observed that the question of site inspection has been debated in detail in the past and finally SEIAA has taken a decision in the matter in its 48th meeting held on 23.01.2016 under agenda item 48.33 as noted below.

“6. Field visit may be confined to projects against which complains are there. Site inspection in necessary cases may be conducted and report furnished within the time line for appraisal by SEAC (60 days).”

The need for a field visit is decided by the expert Committee as enunciated under Para 5(d), II. Stage (2)-Scoping (i) and IV. Stage(4)- Appraisal(ii) of the MoEF S.O .1533(E) notified on 14th Sept 2006. However in specific cases SEIAA may refer back the recommendations to SEAC to look into specific issues which may involve a site inspection. But a blanket requirement for site inspection for all quarry projects is neither required nor practical due to following reasons.

1. Since large number of cases are involved it further delays the appraisal process.
2. It will take considerable time and effort of the Committee members and they are not adequately compensated for the same.

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

Padma Mahanta
Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

3. As noted above it is completely the direction of the SEAC to decide about the necessity for a site inspection for facilitating the process of appraisal. However if specific issues are to be examined SEIAA can always refer back the case to SEAC.

Hence the committee decided to recommend to SEIAA to review its earlier decision in the matter.

Item No. 62.28 Environmental clearance for the Proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 16/2/1pt, 16/2/2pt, 17/4 pt, 20/1, 20/2, 20/3, 17/5 pt, 17/7 at Ozhalapathy Village, Vadakarapathy Panchayath, Chittur Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala by Sri. K. P. Davis (File No. 849/SEIAA/EC1/2861/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. K. P. Davis

The Committee after examining the mining plan, prefeasibility report, field inspection report and all other documents submitted decided to **defer** the item and the proponent was asked to submit the following additional documents

1. Map showing all lands in possession and the proposed quarry lease area within this land. The adjacent Govt. Poramboke land should also be marked.
2. The CSR activity needs revision to meet the local needs.

Item No. 62.30 Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos 105 pt. & 111 pt. at Kannamangalam Village, Kannamangalam Panchayath, Tirurangadi Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri. Abdul Khader, K. (File No. 846/SEIAA/EC1/2858/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Abdul Khader, K

The Committee after examining the Minutes of 55th meeting of SEAC held on 10th, 11th and 20th of May, 2016, and the two inspection reports observed that since differing views have emerged regarding the suitability of the site for mining it is better to get it inspected by a strengthened team of experts. Hence it was decided to get the site inspection conducted by a team consisting of Dr. George Chackacherry, Dr. Khaleel Chowwa, Dr. P. S. Harikumar and Sri. John Mathai. The item was **deferred** for the same.

Item No. 62.31 Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Re. Survey No. 266/2 (pt) of Cherukavu Village, Kondotty Taluk,

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

Pardume Mahanti
Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Malappuram District, Kerala application of Sri. P. K. Abdulla Koya, Managing Director, M/s Beta Granite Pvt. Ltd (File No. 216/SEIAA/EC1/274/2014)

Project Proponent : Sri. P. K. Abdulla Koya, M/s Beta Granite Pvt. Ltd

The Committee after examining the mining plan, prefeasibility report, field inspection report and all other documents submitted decided to **Recommend** for issuance of EC subject to general conditions.

Item No. 62.32 **Approval of Terms of Reference (ToR) for the EIA study for the Common Biomedical Waste Treatment facility at Survey Nos. 4410/2.2,2.3, 4411/1& 2.2 Peringamala Village, Nedumangadu Taluk & Trivandrum District, Kerala by Dr. A. V. Jayakrishnan, State President, M/s Indian Medical Association Goes Eco-friendly (IMAGE) (File No. 1059/SEIAA/EC1/1083/2016)**

Project Proponent: Dr. A. V. Jayakrishnan, M/s Indian Medical Association Goes Eco-friendly

The Committee appraised the Terms of Reference (ToR) and decided to suggest the standard ToR issued by MoEF for similar projects for conducting the EIA study.

Item No. 62.33 **Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy Nos. 70/4, 203/10, 11-2, 11-3, 11-7, 11-8, 12-1, 2-2, 12-4, 13, 14-1, 14-2, 14, 24, 204/5-1, 5-2, 5-3-1, 7-1-1, 7-1-2, 15-1, 15-2, 17, 18, 19, 22, 205/1-1, 2-1, 2, 22/1, 22-1. 265/ 6. 267/3-1, 3-2, 3-3, 3-4, 3-5-2, 3-6, 268/2, 274/14, 15, 23-1, 23, 24-1, 24-2 at Pallichal Village, Neyyattinkara Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala by Sri. Thomas Philip for M/s Metro Aggregates and Sand (I) Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 171(A)/SEIAA/KL/3501/2013)**

Project Proponent : Sri. Thomas Philip, M/s Metro Aggregates and Sand (I) Pvt. Ltd.

The committee appraised the proposal based on the mining plan, pre-feasibility report, field inspection report and all other documents submitted by the proponent. The Committee observed that presently an exhaustive verification is being conducted in Mukkunnimmala area by the different agencies of the Government. The Sub Committee members also informed about the confusion regarding the actual identifications of the survey parcels. In the light of the above

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

Padma Mahanti

Secretary

State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Signature
Date

the Committee decided to wait for the emergence of a clear picture regarding the status of land in Mukkunnimala area and hence decided to **defer** the item. Meanwhile the proponent can be asked to comply with the following

- Submit copy of the certificate that the proposed quarry area is not assigned for any special purposes.
- The external boundary pillars with GPS values must be permanently placed embedding it properly on the ground.
- The CSR activity needs revision addressing the needs of the locality as suggested.

Item No. 62.34 Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 362/5-2,11,12, 363/2,4,6,8,9-1,9-2, 366/3,4 in Manickal village, Nedumangad Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala application of Sri. Shaji K. Mathew, Director- M/s VKL Infrastructure facilities (P) Ltd. (File No. 753(A)/SEIAA/EC1/ 302/2015)

Project Proponent: Sri. Shaji K. Mathew, M/s VKL Infrastructure facilities (P) Ltd.

The committee appraised the proposal based on the mining plan, pre-feasibility report, field inspection report and all other documents submitted by the proponent. The Committee felt that since bits of land involved in two mining plans are contiguous and operated by the same proponents and there is a recommendation from the District Geologist for treating both the areas as a single unit, it is advisable to have a composite mining plan. Hence the Committee decided to **defer** the item for a composite mining plan.

Item No. 62.35 Environmental clearance for the proposed china clay mining project in Sy. Nos. 427/1, 1-1, 1-2, 2, 4 & 5 at Melthonnakal Village, Trivandrum Taluk, Trivandrum District, Kerala by Sri. Chandrasekaran for M/s EICL limited (File No. 940/SEIAA/EC1/ 4098 /2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Chandrasekaran for M/s EICL limited

The Committee after examining the mining plan, prefeasibility report, field inspection report and all other documents submitted decided to **defer** the item for the production of the following additional documents

- A combined plan of entire plot under EICL in cadastral scale indicating a) individual

Padma Mahanti
Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC),
Kerala

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

quarry leases with the status like working, refilled, yet to be exploited, b) plant area, office area and other ancillary facilities c) RWH structures and d) space left for other purposes. Indicate the main roads also

- Copy of the letter from DGMS permitting EICL to work as a single unit to be provided
- Total area of all lease holds with in 500 m radius
- A detailed write up of proposed CSR with recurring and non recurring expenditure to be provided.

Item No. 62.36

Environmental clearance for the proposed china clay mining project in Sy. Nos. 434/6, 8, 9, 15, 16, 17,482/11, 483/2, 6 and 484/1, 2 at Melthonnakal Village, Trivandrum Taluk, Trivandrum District, Kerala by Sri. Chandrasekaran for M/s EICL limited (File No. 941 / SEIAA / EC1 / 4099 / 2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Chandrasekaran for M/s EICL limited

The Committee after examining the mining plan, prefeasibility report, field inspection report and all other documents submitted decided to defer the item for the production of the following additional documents

- A combined plan of entire plot under EICL in cadastral scale indicating a) individual quarry leases with the status like working, refilled, yet to be exploited, b) plant area, office area and other ancillary facilities c) RWH structures and d) space left for other purposes. Indicate the main roads also
- Copy of the letter from DGMS permitting EICL to work as a single unit to be provided
- Total area of all lease holds with in 500 m radius
- A detailed write up of proposed CSR with recurring and non recurring expenditure to be provided.

Item No. 62.37

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 164/1-1 (1), 164/1-1(2), 164/1-20, 164/1-21, 164/1-22, 164/1-23, 164/1-24, 197/1, 197/2-1, 197/2-2, 197/2-3, 200/1-1, 200/1-2, 200/1-3, 200/3-2, 200/4-2, 200/5 and 200/12 of Block – 19 Ayyampuzha Village, Aluva Taluk, Ernakulam district, Kerala by Sri. Joji P.L., M/s. Star Granites (File No. 759/SEIAA/KL/436/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Joji P.L., M/s. Star Granites

Padma Mahanti

Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC),
Kerala

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

The committee appraised the proposal based on the mining plan, pre-feasibility report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application. The Committee decided to defer the item for field visit.

Item No. 62.38 Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 251/1, 251/1-1 & 251/1-2 at Pazhayakannummel Village, Chirayinkeezhu Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala application of Sri. K. A. Jaleel (File No. 985/SEIAA/EC1/4652/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. K. A. Jaleel

The Committee after examining the mining plan, prefeasibility report, field inspection report and all other documents submitted decided to defer the item and the proponent was asked to submit the following additional documents.

1. The house belonging to Mohammed Mustafa, T P House is apparently within 100 m of the quarry area. However it was stated by the proponent that it is beyond 100 m. A fresh measurement is to be done and if found within 100 m, the boundary of the quarry area to be shifted accordingly. The details of the measurement are to be reported to the committee with the plan of the quarry showing the location of the houses and approach road.
2. Revised CSR activities for meeting the local needs to be furnished.

Item No. 62.39 Environmental clearance for the building stone quarry project in Sy. No. 288/1 at Koodal Village, Adoor Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by Sri. Anwar Hussain Rawthar (File No. 733/SEIAA/EC4/06/2015).

Project Proponent : Sri. Anwar Hussain Rawthar

The Committee after examining the mining plan, prefeasibility report, field inspection report by Sri. John Mathai and all other documents submitted decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to following general conditions and specific conditions

1. All the boundary pillars must be erected permanently.
2. Catch water drain leading to a RWH must be provided on the southern periphery to channelize the storm water, clarify it and to conserve part of it for use in the site.
3. The approach road should be provided with good surfacing.

Item No. 62.40

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 120/1-23 at Erumely village Erumely Panchayath, Kanjirappally Taluk, Kottayam District, Kerala by Mr. K.V.Abraham (File No. 963/EC4/14473/2015/SEIAA

Project Proponent : Sri. K. V. Abraham

The Committee after examining the mining plan, prefeasibility report, field inspection report by Sri. John Mathai and all other documents submitted decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions along with the following specific conditions.

1. Should be fenced all around.
2. The approach road needs widening and surfacing even though it is located within the estate.
3. The limited patch of natural vegetation seen in the upper part adjacent to the proposed lease area should be preserved as such.
4. A small plot should be set apart for the rehabilitation of rare plants seen in the area.
5. The entire quarry should be provided with catch water drain. The storm water from the upper slopes to be channelized into the drain and clarified before it is let out.
6. A RWH structure should be created for the storage of rain water.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs 9 lakhs (non-recurring) and 13 lakhs (recurring) per year for a period of next 5 years for the welfare of the local community. The proponent also agreed to spend this amount in consultation with the local panchayath.

ANY OTHER ITEM

Item No. 62.41

Environmental clearance for proposed mining project in Sy. Nos. 229/1, 229/13, 229/9, 229/9-1, 234/10, 234/11, 234/3, 234/4, 234/5, 234/6, 234/8-2, 234/9-1, 238/12, 238/13-2, 238/16-2, 238/17-2, 240/10, 240/11, 240/7, 240/7-1, 240/7-2, 240/8, 240/9, 241/10, 241/1-1, 241/1-2, 241/12-16, 241/12-17, 241/12-2, 241/13-1, 241/18, 241/2, 241/4, 241/5, 241/6, 241/7, 241/8, 241/8-1, 241/9-1, 242/1, 242/2, 242/4-2, 242/4-3, 242/5, 242/6, 242/7, 242/8, 245/4, 245/5, 245/6, 245/6-1, 245/6-2, 245/6-3 and 245/6-4 at Aruvikkara Village and Panchayath, Nedumangad Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala by M/s Travancore Blue Metal Industries (P) Ltd. (File No. 152/SEIAA/EC1/3072/2013)

Minutes of the 62nd meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 06th & 07th September, 2016

Padma Mahanti
Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Project Proponent: Sri. P. V. Suresh Kumar, M/s Travancore Blue Metal Industries (P) Ltd.

The committee appraised the proposal based on the mining plan, pre-feasibility report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application. The Committee decided to **defer** the item to consider in next meeting as the details provided in the agenda note are not adequate enough to take a decision.

The meeting ended at 5.00 pm with vote of thanks to the Chairman and Members.

Padma Mahanti

Smt. Padma Mahanti IFS
PADMA MAHANTI IFS
DIRECTOR
Directorate of Environment & Climate Change
Pettah, Thiruvananthapuram-24

Sri. Gopinathan

Sri. Gopinathan IFS (Rtd)
Chairman
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

List of members present 2nd Day (07.09.2016)

1. Dr. Keshav Mohan
2. Sri. P. Sreekumaran Nair
3. Dr. George Chackacherry
4. Sri. John Mathai
5. Dr. K. M. Khaleel Chovva
6. Dr. Oommen V. Oommen