

MINUTES OF THE 71st MEETING OF SEAC, KERALA, HELD ON 20th&21st APRIL 2017, AT HARITHASREE HALL, DIRECTORATE OF ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

The 71st meeting of the SEAC commenced at 10.00 am with Sri.V. Gopinathan in the chair. The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the proceedings of the Committee.

Item No. 71.00

Approval of Minutes: The minutes of the 70th SEAC meeting were read over, corrections made as suggested by the members and **approved**.

Item No :71.01

Follow up action of minutes of the meetings of SEIAA

Minutes of the SEIAA were read and the Secretary was advised to place individual cases before the Committee for taking follow up action.

Item No. 71.02

Application for Terms of Reference for EIA study for the Proposed construction of Common Effluent Treatment Plant (CETP) in Survey Nos. 113/7B, Aroor Village, Cherthala Taluk, Alappuzha District, Kerala State by The District Collector (Alappuzha) & Managing Director by ACCEPT Environment Solutions Private Limited (File No. 1129/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : ACCEPT Environment Solutions Private Limited

The proposal is for the approval of ToR for conducting EIA Study for the construction of Common Effluent Treatment Plant (CETP). The proponent has not submitted the details of the consultant proposed to be engaged for conducting the EIA study. The Committee advised proponent to submit all such relevant details pertaining to the consultant for processing the application and hence decided to **defer** the item.

Item No.71.03

Application for Terms of Reference for EIA study for the Proposed POL storage terminal by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited (HPCL) India in Survey Nos. 541, 526, 527, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 553, 554, 555, 556, 558, 559, 560, 561, 523, 522, 475, 471, 465, 464, 463, 460, 459, 456, 455, 472, Payyannur Village, Taliparamba Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala State by Sri. G. Vinod Kumar, The Chief Regional Manager M/s Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited (HPCL) (File No. 1130/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Sri. G. Vinod Kumar, M/s Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited (HPCL)

The proposal is for the approval of ToR for conducting EIA Study for the POL storage terminal by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited (HPCL) India. The proponent has not submitted the details of the consultant having an accreditation for conducting EIA Study. So the Committee advised the proponent to submit the details as envisaged in MoEF OM.No.J-11013/41/2006 LAII(1) dt.04.08.2009. Hence decided to defer the item.

Item No.71.04

Environment Clearance for Integrated I.T. Township project at Sy. Nos. 340/3, 334/1, 344, 345/3, 339/1, 337, 87/5 of Marthakkara Village, Puthur Panchayat and Sy. Nos. 204/4, 204/8, 204/1, 204/2, 204/5, 203/28, 203/30, 203/33, 203/34, 203/36, 203/37, 203/38, 203/39, 203/40, 203/41, 203/42, 203/44 of Ullur Village, Thrissur Corporation, Thrissur Taluk & District, Kerala by Sri. Siyad C.A (File No.1078/EC1/SEIAA/2016)

Project Proponent : Sri. Siyad C.A

Since the built-up area of the proposal is more than 3,00,000 sq.m, the proposal for EC has to be preferred before EIAA in MoEF. Hence SEIAA may take appropriate action in the matter.

Item No.71.05

Environmental clearance for the proposed China Clay project in survey Nos. 177, 178, 179, 180, 241, 242, 181/21, 181/10, 182/3, 182/4, 482/5, 182/6, 182/10, 182/11, 182/12, 182/17, 183, 184, 240/2, 240/13, 240/14, 240/12, 229/14-1 of Veiloor Village, Thiruvanthapuram Taluk & Thiruvanthapuram District, Kerala by Sri. R. Chandrasekaran, for M/s EICL Ltd. (File No. 1091/EC/SEIAA/2017)

Project Proponent : Sri. R. Chandrasekaran, M/s EICL Ltd

Further to the intimation the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The proponent already has a single lease for 14.5129 Ha from 2008 for 30 years. This lease and subsequent mining from the area are in violation of the 2006 MoEF Notification

Padma Jhantri

dt.14.09.2006. However the Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection** before taking further action in the matter.

Item No.71.06 Environmental clearance for proposed Development of building space Project in Sy.No. 266/5 at Angamaly Village and Aluva Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri.Prem Kumar SankaraPanicker, Chief Engineer, for M/s INKID LTD. (File No. 1093/EC/SEIAA/2017)

Project Proponent : Sri. Prem Kumar Sankara Panicker, M/s INKID LTD

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and the engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection**. The Committee also directed the proponent to submit the site plan (drawn to scale) showing all internal roads and parking area.

Item No.71.07 Environmental clearance for proposed Rubber Park Project in Sy.Nos. 688/1/1, 688/1/2, 688/1/69, 688/1/270, 688/1/271 at Piravanthoor Village and Pathanapuram Taluk, Kollam District, Kerala by Shri.Biju P Poullose, Manager Technical, Rubber Park India (P) Limited (File No. 1095/EC/SEIAA/2017)

Project Proponent : Shri. Biju P Poullose, Rubber Park India (P) Limited

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer from KITCO attended the meeting. The engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan.

The Committee observed that the proposed site is in an ESA village and the total built up area of the project is 66,264 m². Since the construction of building above 20,000 m² is prohibited in an ESA Village, the Committee **decided to recommend to reject the application**.

It is rather disappointing to note that KITCO is in the habit of submitting and endorsing projects in violation of EIA Notification 2006 and the MoEF Notification No. F.No.1-4/2012-RE (Pt.) dt.13.11.2013 on Western Ghats. Secretary may issue a warning to KITCO to be more vigilant in their role as an environmental consultant.

Padma Yahanth

Item No.71.08

Environmental clearance for the proposed building stone quarry project in Survey No. 459/1-1pt., 459/2, 460/10, 12, 460/2-1pt., 460/2-2, 461/4, 461/1, 462/1pt., 462/2, 462/3, 446/4pt., 446/7pt., 446/8pt., 462/5, 461/2, 461/3, 447/3, 2pt., 448/3pt., 2, 1pt., 452/5pt., 452/4, 6, 7, 8 of Peroorkada Village, Thiruvananthapuram Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala State by Mr. Jabu K. Abraham, Managing Partner, M/s POABS Granites Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 1071(A)/EC1/2016/SEIAA)

Project Proponent : Mr. Jabu K. Abraham, M/s POABS Granites Pvt. Ltd.

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee deferred the item for submission of the following document.

- 1. An agreement of 'no objection for quarrying' from the owner of the plot embedded within the proposed quarrying area.*

Item No.71.09

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 223 pt, 223/2, 223/6 pt, 118/14 pt, & 118/15 of Vandazhi - I Village, Alathur Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala application of Sri. Vinu Mani, Managing Partner, M/s. Paramount Granites (File No. 664/SEIAA/EC1/5179/2014)

Project Proponent : Sri. Vinu Mani, M/s. Paramount Granites

The Committee deferred the item for considering on the next day in the presence of Sub Committee members.

Item No.71.10

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 1446/3 at Killanur Village, Mulankunnathukavu Panchayath, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala State by Mr. Sony P. George, Managing Partner & Authorized signatory of G.M. & Sons Granites (File No. 944/SEIAA/EC14127/2015)

Project Proponent : Mr. Sony P. George, M/s G.M. & Sons Granites

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted

Padme Mahanta

with the proposal. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition for mining.

1. *The drainage from the quarry is to be de silted before allowing to go outside.*
2. *Dust suppression mechanism must be in place.*
3. *If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*

The proponent agreed to spend Rs 3 lakhs each as recurring and non-recurring expenditure for taking up CSR activities in the locality in consultation with the local body.

Item No.71.11

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. No. 1/1 (pt) at Ottappalam Village, Ottappalam Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala application of Sri.Shamsudheen M. P. Partner M/s Dark Stone land developers Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 705/SEIAA/EC1/5657/2014)

Project Proponent :Sri. Shamsudheen M. P, M/s Dark Stone Land Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee decided to **defer the item** for further clarification regarding the nature of the ownership of the land.

Item No.71.12

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy.Nos. 1476/1, 1476/10, 1476/2, 1476/4, 1476/5, 1476/7, 1477/2, 1478/2, 1478/5, 1478/9, 1483/10, 1483/12, 1483/2, 1483/3, 1483/9, 1490/4, 1491/1, 1491/2, 1491/3, 1494/1, 1495/1, 1497/1 & 1497/2 at Madakkathara Village, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala application of Sri. Jose John (Managing Director), M/s Thrissur Sand and Gravel Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 991/SEIAA/EC1/4812/2015)

Project Proponent :Sri. Jose John, M/s Thrissur Sand and Gravel Pvt. Ltd.

The Committee deferred the item for considering on the next day in the presence of Sub Committee members.

Padma Somanth

The meeting ended at 5.00 pm.

Padma Mahanti
Smt. Padma Mahanti IFS

Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC),
Kerala

V. Gopinathan

Sri. V. Gopinathan IFS (Rtd)
Chairman
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

List of members present 1st Day (20.04.2017)

1. Sri. S. Ajayakumar
2. Dr. K. M. Khaleel
3. Prof. (Dr.) Keshav Mohan
4. Dr. Oommen. V. Oommen
5. Shri. John Mathai
6. Dr. George Chackacherry
7. Sri. P. Sreekumaran Nair FIE

Padma Mahanti

Day 2 (21.04.2017)**Item No.71.13**

Application for Environment Clearance for Proposed I.T. Building project at Survey Nos. 368/1, 368/2, 368/4, 369/1, 369/4, 371/1, 371/2, 371/3, 371/4, 371/5, 371/6, 371/7, 371/8, 372/6, Kunnathunadu Village, Kunnathunadu Panchayat, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala State by Dr. Hafeez Rahman, Managing Director M/s Padiyath Innovation World Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 1096/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Dr. Hafeez Rahman, M/s Padiyath Innovation World Pvt. Ltd.

As the proponent did not turn up for presenting the details, the Committee decided to defer the item for the next meeting.

Item No.71.14

Environmental clearance for the proposed Expansion of building stone quarry project in survey Nos. Sy. Nos. 475/9, 475/9-1, 475/10, 477/9-1, 477/15-1, 236/1, 236/3, 236/4, 236/6, 236/7, 236/8, 236/9, 235/3 & 235/10 of Manickal&Thekkada Village, Nedumangad Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala by Sri. Abdul Kareem, Owner M/s. Al - Falah Metal Crusher (File No. 1097/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Sri. Abdul Kareem, M/s. Al - Falah Metal Crusher

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form 1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.15 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.15 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection**. The committee also directed the proponent to submit the following additional documents.

1. *A copy of Letter of Intent from the Department of Mining & Geology.*
2. *The Certificate [27.2(f)] of KMMC Rule, 2015] from the Village Officer certifying that the land is not assigned for any specific purpose.*
3. *A list of plant species proposed to be planted at the site should also to be provided.*

Padma Hahant

Item No.71.15

Environmental clearance for the proposed expansion of building stone quarry project in survey Nos. Sy.Nos. 347/5-1, 347/5-2, 347/5-3, 347/5-4, 347/5-6 & 347/5-7 of Thachanattukara-1 Village, Mannarkkad Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala by Sri. P.T.V. Mustafa (File No 1098/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Sri. P.T.V. Mustafa

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.10 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.10 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection**. The committee also directed the proponent to submit the list of plant species proposed to be planted at the site.

Item No.71.16

Environmental clearance for proposed as Residential Apartments cum commercial complex building project in Sy. Nos. 111/7, 112/ (1, 7-13, 14,15, 16, 18, 23-25, 28) 116 (5, 6, 14) Pangappara Village, Thiruvananthapuram Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala State by Sri. M. Najeeb, President of M/s Spring Infradev Limited. (File No. 1099/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Sri. M. Najeeb, M/s Spring Infradev Limited

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection**. The committee also directed the proponent to submit the following additional documents/ details.

1. *Water balance chart with clarity*
2. *Details of waste management facility*
3. *Quantification of earth cutting & filling*
4. *Details of streams passing through the site*
5. *Proper earmarking of common assembly point and material recovery space.*

Pradma Mahanta

6. A proper parking diagram.
7. Rainwater storage facility for atleast 10 days' requirement.
8. Quantify the total energy proposed to be met from solar energy.
9. Details of tree planting proposed in the area.

Item No.71.17

Environmental clearance for the proposed Building Stone Quarry project in survey Nos. 465/04&05, 481/01-1&01- 2,482/02, 482/02-2 & 02-3, 482/04, 482/05, 482/06 and 483/04 of Kummil Village, Kottarakara Taluk, Kollam district, Kerala by Sri. Harish G Nair, Managing Partner and Authorized Signatory, M/s H & P Granites (File No. 1087/EC3/SEIAA/2016)

Project Proponent : Sri. Harish G Nair, M/s H & P Granites

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.15 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.15 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The committee asked the proponent to submit a Certificate from Village Officer certifying that the land is not assigned for any other purpose. The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection**. At the time of site visit the Sub Committee members may examine the road accessibility to the second block.

Item No.71.18

Environmental clearance for proposed Residential Project in Sy. Nos. 1888/1-3-3, 1887/4, 1887, 1886/4, 1887/2, 1886/1-1, 1886/6, 1887/8-1, 1886/1-2, 1886/7, 1886/1, 1886/4, 1886/3, 1886/4, 1886/4-1-1-1, 1886/4, 1886/1, 1814/1-3, 1887/4, 1887, 1887/7, 1887/6, 1887/4, 1886/3, 1887/9, 1814/1-2-5, 1814/1-2, 1814, 1886/4-1, 1886/4-1-1, Kadakampally Village, Thiruvananthapuram Corporation, Thiruvananthapuram Taluk & District, Kerala State by Mr. Mani Madhavan Nambiar K.P. (Associate Vice President & Authorized Signatory), M/s MPG Hotels and Infrastructure Ventures Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 1100/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Mr. Mani Madhavan Nambiar K.P. M/s MPG Hotels and Infrastructure Ventures Pvt. Ltd.

Resubmitted

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan.

The proponent agreed to set apart an amount of Rs.60 lakh over a period of 3 years for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local panchayat.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field visit**. The committee also directed the proponent to submit the additional documents/details with respect to the following points.

- a). *The area for material recovery facility should be enhanced.*
- b). *Disposal of excavated earth.*
- c). *Details of power proposed to be utilised from solar sources.*

Item No.71.19

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 39/1 & 39/2 of Venganellur Village, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Mr. V. GovindanKutty- Managing Partner of M/s. Divya Metal Industries (File No. 1062/SEIAA/EC1/1639/16)

Project Proponent : Mr. V. GovindanKutty, M/s. Divya Metal Industries

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition for mining.

1. *The approach road to the quarry from the main road is not maintained at all. This road must be maintained in good motorable condition by the proponent.*
2. *Dust suppression mechanism must be in place.*
3. *100 m distance should be left from the Reserve Forest boundary.*
4. *If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*

Padma

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs 5 lakhs and Rs 3 lakhs, non-recurring and recurring amounts respectively, for taking up CSR activities in the locality in consultation with local panchayat.

Item No.71.20

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 236/1, 544/1, 544/2, 545/1, 545/2, 545/3 at Vengappalli Village, Vengappalli Panchayath, Vythiri Taluk, Wayanad District, Kerala by Mr.V.Abdul Majeed, Managing Partner, M/s MMT Construction Company (File No. 888/SEIAA/EC3/ 3319/2015)

Project Proponent : Mr.V.Abdul Majeed, M/s MMT Construction Company

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee **deferred** for submission of the following document/details.

- 1 *A copy of the certificate from the village officer stating that the land is not assigned for any specific purpose .*
- 2 *The exact Co-ordinates of the boundary pillars to be measured once again and submitted in a tabular form.*

Item No.71.21

Environmental clearance for Quarry Project in Sy.Nos. 298 at Mupainad Village, Mupainad Panchayath, Vythiri Taluk, Wayanad District, -673 579 by Sri.M.P.Kuriakose, for Masonry Stone Mine (Quarry)Project (File No.901/EC4/ 3462/ SEIAA/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri.M.P.Kuriakose, Masonry Stone Mine (Quarry)Project

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee decided to direct the proponent **to revise the Mining Plan** limiting the quarrying operation to the exposed rock area alone.

Item No.71.22

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 2981/A, A1A of Ambalavayal Village, Sulthan Bathery Taluk, Wayanad District, for Quarry of Sri. Clippy.K.G. (File No.903/EC4/3464/ SEIAA/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Clippy.K.G.

Radhika Sahanthi

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee decided to **defer the item** for submission of the following document/details.

1. *The certificate that the land is not assigned for any specific purpose must be produced.*
2. *The two houses seen within 100 m of the proposed quarry area were noted to have been presently occupied. Since they are owned by the proponent they are to be vacated and fact intimated.*

Item No.71.23

Environmental Clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy.No. 59/2 at Kariyavattom Village, Perinthalmanna Taluk, Malappuram District, by Sri. K. A. Abraham, Managing Director for M/s Poabson Granite Products Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 946/SEIAA/EC1/4152/ 2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. K. A. Abraham, M/s Poabson Granite Products Pvt. Ltd.

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition for mining.

1. *If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs 13 lakhs and Rs 12 lakhs (per annum) towards non-recurring and recurring expenditures respectively for taking up CSR activities in the locality in consultation with the local panchyath.

Item No.71.24

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 362/5-2, 11,12, 363/2,4,6,8,9-1,9-2, 366/3,4 in Manickal village, Nedumangad Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala applications of Sri. Shaji K. Mathew, Director- M/s VKL Infrastructure facilities (P) Ltd. &M/s VKL Projects India (P) Ltd (File No.753(A)/SEIAA/EC1/302/2015 & 753(B)/SEIAA/EC1/302/ 2015)

Padme Mahanta

Project Proponent : Sri. Shaji K. Mathew, M/s VKL Infrastructure facilities (P) Ltd. &M/s VKL Projects India (P) Ltd

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition for mining.

1. *Considering the presence of a DTPC building and the intended use of this elevated hillock as a place of local tourism, a buffer distance of 100 m must be left as a no development zone from the path connecting the top points on two rocky knobs of Thampuranpara and the eastern edge of DTPC building.*
2. *Top soil and over burden should be stored in a designated place on the lower slope away from the working area (on the eastern side) and provided with protective support walls.*
3. *A catch water drain to be provided all along the lowest part and channelized into a pit that is supposed to function as a RWH structure. Water must be clarified before it is let out.*
4. *Boundary pillars are to be permanently fixed on the ground. Fencing should be provided all around the quarry area.*
5. *Production of Certificate as prescribed in Rule 27.2 (f) in Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rule, 2015. This Certificate may be submitted to SEIAA.*

A commitment may be obtained from the proponent to set apart Rs.16 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.10 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Item No.71.25

Environmental clearance for proposed expansion of existing hospital project in Sy. No. 1486/1-4-3-2, 1486/1-4-3, 1486/1-1, 1486/1-4-2, 1486/3-2, 1486, 1486, 1486/3-1, 1486, 1486/1-4-3-2, 1486/1-4-3-1, 1486/1-4-1, 1486/4-18, 1486/2, 1479/3-5, 1479/3-6, 1479/3-4, 1479/3-3, 1482/1-1, 1482/1-5, 1482/4-30, 1482/4-29, 1482/4-23, 1482/4-27, 1482/1-4-1, 1482/1-4-2, 1482/1-2, 1486/2, 1486/1-4-3-1, 1482/1-1, 1486/2-1, 1482/1-1-2, 1482/4-22, 1482/4-22-7, 1482/4-12, 1482/4-25, 1482/1-8, 1482/1-6, 1482/1-3, 1482/1-4, 1482/1-7, 1482/1-2, 1482/4-24-2, 1482/3-2-1-1-2-1, 1482/3-2-1-2, 1482/3-2-1, 1482/4-22, 1482/3-2-1-1-1, 1482/3-2-1-2, 1482/3-2-1-2-1, 1482/4-18-1, 1482/4-18-1, 1482/3, 1482/3-2-1, 1482/3-1-1, 1482/3-1, 1486/1-4-4, 1486/1-5-1-1, 1482/4-26, 1482/4-27, 1482/4-27, 1482/4-27-1, 1482/4-27, 1482/4-27-1, 1482/1, 1482/1-1-1, 1482/3-3-1, 1482/3-3-2, 1482/3-3, 1485/1, 1485/2, 1485/3, 1485/4, 1485/5, 1485/7, 1485/8, 1485/9, 1485/10 Kadakampally Village, Trivandrum Municipal Corporation, Trivandrum Taluk & District, Kerala State by Mr. E.M. Najeeb, Executive Director,

Pradumne Mathew

M/s KIMS Healthcare Management Ltd. (File No. 1085/EC1/SEIAA/2016)

Project Proponent : Mr. E.M. Najeeb, M/s KIMS Healthcare Management Ltd.

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent. The Committee was not convinced with the explanations given by the proponent that there was no requirement of Environment Clearance for the existing two buildings. The Committee opined that the combined built up area of both the existing buildings exceed the threshold limit of EIA Notification 2006 and therefore violates the provisions of the notification.

However, since the proponent requested for time to produce further documents in support of his argument that there was no violation in the construction, the Committee decided to **defer** the item.

Item No.71.26

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy.No. 275/1A at Trippangottur Village, Thalassery Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala-670693 by Sri.T.P. Sunil for Granite Building Stone Quarry (File No. 859/SEIAA/EC4/2988/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri.T.P. Sunil Babu

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form.1, all other documents submitted with the proposal and the field visit report, the committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to the general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

1. *Maintenance of the approach road.*
2. *Fences and sign boards should be provided around the site*
3. *Overburden should be deposited at a suitable place with protection*
4. *Proper drainage system should be provided.*
5. *If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*
6. *Operation shall be commenced only after the wildlife clearance from the MoEF.*

A commitment may be obtained from the proponent to set apart Rs.7 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.6 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Padma Jayanthi

Item No.71.09

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 223 pt, 223/2, 223/6 pt, 118/14 pt, & 118/15 of Vandazhi - I Village, Alathur Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala application of Sri. Vinu Mani, Managing Partner, M/s. Paramount Granites (File No. 664/SEIAA/EC1/5179/2014)

Project Proponent : Sri. Vinu Mani, M/s. Paramount Granites

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The quarry was not in operation while the Sub Committee members visited the site. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition for mining.

1. *Over burden is partly stored in a planned manner. It must be provided with protective support.*
2. *The approach road to the quarry from the main road is not maintained at all. This road must be maintained in good motorable condition by the proponent. Buffer distance from the road near the quarry may not be required as it ends at the quarry.*
3. *If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*

A commitment may be obtained from the proponent to set apart Rs.10 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.10 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Item No.71.12

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy.Nos. 1476/1, 1476/10, 1476/2, 1476/4, 1476/5, 1476/7, 1477/2, 1478/2, 1478/5, 1478/9, 1483/10, 1483/12, 1483/2, 1483/3, 1483/9, 1490/4, 1491/1, 1491/2, 1491/3, 1494/1, 1495/1, 1497/1 & 1497/2 at Madakkathara Village, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala application of Sri. Jose John (Managing Director), M/s Thrissur Sand and Gravel Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 991/SEIAA/EC1/4812/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Jose John, M/s Thrissur Sand and Gravel Pvt. Ltd.

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The quarry was not working at the time of file inspection. The Committee

Padma Yashanti

decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

1. *If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*

A commitment may be obtained from the proponent to set apart Rs.10 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.10 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Outside Agenda Items

Item No.71.27

Environmental Clearance for the proposed Granite Building Stone quarry project in Sy. Nos. 197/2, 198/2, 203/3 and 369/1(P) at Koppam Village, Ottapalam Taluk (New Taluk – Pattambi), Palakkad District, Kerala by M/s Marath Enterprises and Crushers Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 310/SEIAA/KL/1693/2014)

Project Proponent : Sri. P.K. Subramanian, M/s Marath Enterprises and Crushers Pvt. Ltd.

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition for mining.

1. *Outflow of sediment water should not be allowed and for this a sedimentation tank of proper size should be put to operation.*
2. *If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*

A commitment may be obtained from the proponent to set apart Rs.9 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.9 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Item No.71.28

Environmental clearance for the expansion of quarry project in Sy. No. 153/2-2, 152/1-3, 151/4-2, 151/2-3, 151/2-4, 151/4-3, 152/1-2, 163/1-1 & 163/1-2 of Block – 26 at Valakom Village,

Kottarakkara Taluk, Kollam District, Kerala by Sri. M. Abraham, Ayoor, Kollam for the Proposed Expansion of Building Stone Quarry (File No. 739/SEIAA/KL/6074/2014)

Project Proponent : Sri. M. Abraham

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition for mining.

1. *The quarry pit on the western side must be maintained as RWH structure with storm water from the proposed quarry directed to the pit.*
2. *If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*

A commitment may be obtained from the proponent to set apart Rs.3 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.5 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The meeting ended at 5.00 pm with vote of thanks to the Chairman and Members.


Smt. Padma Mahanti IFS
Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala


Sri. V. Gopinathan IFS (Rtd)
Chairman
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

List of members present 2nd Day (21.04.2017)

- | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1. Sri. S. Ajayakumar | 5. Dr. K.G. Padmakumar |
| 2. Dr. K. M. Khaleel | 6. Dr. George Chackacherry |
| 3. Prof. (Dr.) Keshav Mohan | 7. Shri. John Mathai |
| 4. Dr. Harikrishnan.K | 8. Sri.P. Sreekumaran Nair FIE |

