

MINUTES OF THE 73rd MEETING OF SEAC, KERALA, HELD ON 30th & 31st MAY 2017, AT HARITHASREE HALL, DIRECTORATE OF ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

The 73rd meeting of the SEAC commenced at 10.00 am with **Sri.V. Gopinathan** in the chair. The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the proceedings of the Committee.

Item No. 73.00

Approval of Minutes: The minutes of the 72nd SEAC meeting were read over, corrections made as suggested by the members and **approved**.

Item No :73.01

Follow up action of minutes of the meetings of SEIAA

Minutes of the SEIAA were read and the Secretary was advised to place individual cases before the Committee for taking follow up action.

Item No. 73.02

Environmental clearance for the Proposed Residential Apartment Project in Sy. Nos. 224/1 Poonithura Village, Kanayannur Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala of Mr.K.V.Abdul Azeez, Managing Partner, M/s Skyline Builders (File No. 1114/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Mr.K.V.Abdul Azeez, M/s Skyline Builders

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A , conceptual plan and other connected documents.

The Committee sought more clarity/ assurance from the proponent on the following points.

- a) Adequacy of the source of water
- b) In view of the nearby water body whether the site needs clearance under the CRZ notification
- c) Proof of having applied for the wildlife clearance.

Padma Mahanti

d) Portion of energy requirements proposed to be met from non-conventional sources

The proponent agreed to set apart an amount of Rs.25 lakh over a period of 3 years for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local body. The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection.**

Item No. 73.03

Environmental clearance for the Proposed Residential Building Project in Resurvey No. 105/1C, 105/1 A1 & Sy. Nos. 989/1, 989/1,2, at Kasaba Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala by Sri.Viju Varghese , General Manager, M/s. Artech Malabar Hills (File No. 1115/EC/SEIAA/ KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Sri.Viju Varghese , M/s. Artech Malabar Hills

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A , conceptual plan and other connected documents.

The proponent agreed to set apart an amount of Rs.25 lakh over a period of 3 years for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local body.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection.** The committee also directed the proponent to submit the following additional documents/ clarifications.

1. Copy of documents showing the nature of the land
2. Water yield study of the tube well
3. Does the site attracts the provisions of the CRZ notification?
4. Adequate provision for material recovery area.

Item No. 73.04

Environmental clearance for the Proposed Hotel & Convention Centre Project in Sy. Nos. 271, 272, 282, 283, 285, 286, 287, 288, 290, 291/1A, 291/1B, 321/2, 364, 367/2, 368, 369/2, 370, 372/1, 488/1A1A, 488/2 at Valayanad Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala by Mr. Packer Koya P.P , Director, M/s Lulu Convention Center Calicut Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 1116/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Mr. Packer Koya P.P , M/s Lulu Convention Center Calicut Pvt. Ltd.

Packer Koya P.P

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A, conceptual plan and other connected documents.

The proponent agreed to set apart an amount of Rs.20 lakh per annum for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local the local body.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection**. The Committee also directed the proponent to submit the following additional documents/ details.

1. Report on water yield test.
2. Revise the parking plan with enhanced provisions.
3. Portion of the energy proposed to be met from non-conventional sources.
4. Clarification whether the site attracts the provisions of the CRZ notification.

ItemNo:73.05

Environmental clearance for the proposed Residential cum Commercial and Hospital Project in Sy. Nos. 53/1, 53/2, 53/3 at Pattom Village, Thiruvananthapuram Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala by Mr.Mahesh L, Chief Technical Officer, M/s Asset Homes Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 1117/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Mr.Mahesh L, M/s Asset Homes Pvt. Ltd.

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A, conceptual plan and other connected documents.

The Committee observed that the proposed construction is envisaged in a land carved out of the parking area of an existing hospital complex.._The proposal will have major impact on the traffic flow in the busy Pattom junction. A flyover is already proposed at the junction extending upto Plammodu junction and proposed monorail also passing through the junction. If the exit road of this development is allowed to open to the junction it will aggravate the traffic congestion at the junction due to additional traffic from this development. The proponents could not properly explain the alternate arrangements made for parking in the existing hospital. The committee also expressed its concerns about the desirability of

Pradua Haranti

clubbing hospital building, commercial space and apartment in a relatively smaller area. The appraisal can proceed ahead only after submission of a revised traffic/parking plan addressing the above concerns. Hence the Committee decided to **defer the item** for the submission of the same.

Item No.73.06

Environmental clearance for the proposed masonry stone quarry project in survey Nos.327/3, 328/2, 328/4, 329/4, 328/5, 330/5, 329/3, at Peringome Village, Thaliparamba Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala by Mr. P.M Ibrahim, Managing Partner, M/s Southern Granites (File No. 1120/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Mr. P.M Ibrahim, M/s Southern Granites

The proponent did not turn up for presenting the details, so the Committee decided to **defer** the item.

Item No.73.07

Environmental clearance for the Proposed Granite Building Stone Quarry project in survey Nos. 28 at Nediya Village, Taliparamba Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala by Mr.Jilson Joseph (File No. 1121/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Mr.Jilson Joseph

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.10 lakh (recurring) and Rs.15 lakh per annum (non-recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local body.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection** and for submission of a list of flora and fauna observed at the proposed site. The Committee found that the information furnished about the quarries in the neighbourhood is erroneous. Hence it was decided to ask the proponent to submit a non-cluster certificate. It was also decided to hear Mr.Bijo Jose at the time of field visit as ordered by the Hon. High Court in WP© 561/17

Padma Yashanti

Item No.73.08

Environmental clearance for the proposed masonry stone quarry project in survey Nos. 221/1, Block No: 14, Muthuvallur Village, Ernad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Mr.V.P. Harshad, Managing Partner, M/s Associate Engineering & Chemicals (File No1122/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Mr.V.P. Harshad, M/s Associate Engineering & Chemicals

The proponent did not turn up for presenting the details, so the Committee decided to defer the item.

Item No. 73.09

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy.No. 1116 (P) in Meloor village, Chalakkudy Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala application of Sri.Ashokan M. V. (File No. 574/SEIAA/EC1/ 4330/2014)

Project Proponent : Sri. Ashokan M. V.

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form.1, all other documents submitted with the proposal and the field visit report, the committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

- 1. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*
- 2. No mining shall be constructed within the distance of 100 m from the building owned by St. Thomas Appostholic Seminary*

The proponent agreed to spend 5 lakhs/annum towards community welfare activities in consultation with the local body.

Item No. 73.10

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 164/1-1 (1), 164/1-1(2), 164/1-20, 164/1-21, 164/1-22, 164/1-23, 164/1-24, 197/1, 197/2-1, 197/2-2, 197/2-3, 200/1-1, 200/1-2, 200/1-3, 200/3-2, 200/4-2, 200/5 and 200/12 of Block – 19 Ayyampuzha Village,

Prachin S. S. S. S.

Aluva Taluk, Ernakulam district, Kerala by Sri. Joji P.L., M/s. Star Granites (File No. 759/SEIAA/KL/436/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Joji P.L., M/s. Star Granites

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form.1, all other documents submitted with the proposal and the field visit report, the committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

- 1. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*

A commitment may be obtained from the proponent to set apart Rs.5 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.5 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local body.

Item No.73.11

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 1080/2(P) at Onakkoor village, Muvattupuzha Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala State by Sri.Philip George, M/s. Murickans Quarry (File No. 915/SEIAA/EC3/3661/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri.Philip George, M/s. Murickans Quarry

The Committee opined that the proponent was operating the quarry based on permit without EC. The proponent admitted the fact during his presentation before the committee on 07.062016. Hence, it is a case of violation, which may be looked into SEIAA.

Item No. 73.12

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy.No.1080/1B, 1080/1A, 1080/2, 1081/2B, 1081/2A, 1083/3, 1082/1, Onakkoor Village, Pampakuda Panchayat, Muvattupuzha Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala State by Mr. V.C. Mathew, Managing Director, M/s Edayan Aggregates Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 927/SEIAA/EC3/3893/2015)

Project Proponent : Mr. V.C. Mathew, M/s Edayan Aggregates Pvt. Ltd.

Padma Mahant

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form.1, all other documents submitted with the proposal and the field visit report, the committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

1. *If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs 14 lakhs (non-recurring) and 11 lakhs (recurring) per annum for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community. The proponent also agreed to spend this amount in consultation with the local . This commitment may be noted in the EC.

Item No: 73.13

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. No. 114 at Thirumittacode 2 Village, Pattambi Taluk, Palakkad District by Mrs.Elsy Joseph, Managing Director for M/s Malayakam Granites Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 929/SEIAA/EC1/3895/2015)

Project Proponent : Mrs.Elsy Joseph, M/s Malayakam Granites Pvt. Ltd.

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form.1, all other documents submitted with the proposal and the field visit report, the committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

1. *If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*
2. *No quarrying operation shall be carried out within 100m distance of the adjacent vested forest area.*

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.6 lakhs (non-recurring) and 13 lakhs (recurring) per annum for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community. The proponent also agreed to spend this amount in consultation with the local body.

Pradma V. Aranthi

Item No. 73.14

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. Nos. 164/35(P), 164/1-41, 164/1-44 & 164/1-45, Ayyampuzha Village, Aluva Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala State by Mr. John Kachappilly, M/s. Udaya Rock Products (File No. 995/SEIAA/EC3/4888/2015)

Project Proponent : Mr. John Kachappilly, M/s. Udaya Rock Products

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form.1, all other documents submitted with the proposal and the field visit report, the committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

- 1. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*
- 2. Garland drain and catch water drains to be provided to manage storm water*

The proponent agreed to spend Rs7 lakhs/annum towards CSR activities in consultation with the local body.

Item No.73.15

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Survey Nos. 464/2A, 464/2B1, 464/1A1, 462/1A2, 463/3B, 464/3-2 and 464/3-1 Thirumarady village, Muvattupuzha Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala State by Smt. Bindhu P.A. (File No. 997/EC3/4906/2015)

Project Proponent : Smt. Bindhu P.A.

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form.1, all other documents submitted with the proposal and the field visit report, the committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

- 1. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*

Padma Sankar

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs10 lakhs (non-recurring) and 5 lakhs (recurring) per annum for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local body.

Item No. 73.16

Environmental clearance for the proposed building stone quarry project in Re-survey No. 168, Karukutty Village & Karukutty Grama Panchayat, Alwaye Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala State by Mr. Saji Vadakkekara (Proprietor), M/s Planters Aggregates (File No. 1065/EC3/2016/SEIAA)

Project Proponent : Mr. Saji Vadakkekara, M/s Planters Aggregates

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form.1, all other documents submitted with the proposal and the field visit report, the Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

- 1. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs. 10 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs. 10 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local body.

Item No. 73.17

Environmental clearance for the proposed building stone quarry project in Re-survey Nos. 365, 366, 367/5 part of Koppam Village & Panchayat, Pattambi Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala State by Sri. M.K. Joseph, Managing Partner M/s Malabar Granites (File No. 1066/EC1/2016/SEIAA)

Project Proponent : Sri. M.K. Joseph, M/s Malabar Granites

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form.1, all other documents submitted with the proposal and the field visit report, the Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

- 1. This is a operational quarry with lease up till 2023. But the method of quarrying, general upkeep of the quarry, amenities for the workers, condition of the approach road etc are all very poor. So the general conditions are to be strictly adhered to immediately.*

- 2. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be*

Minutes of the 73rd SEAC Meeting held on 30th & 31st May 2017

Padma Mahanti

properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs10 lakhs (non-recurring) and 10 lakhs (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local body.

The meeting ended at 5.00 pm.

Padma Mahanti

Smt. Padma Mahanti IFS

**Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala**

V

Sri. V. Gopinathan IFS (Rtd)

**Chairman
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala**

List of members present 1st Day (30.05.2017)

1. Prof. (Dr.) Keshav Mohan *[Signature]*

2. Dr. Oommen. V. Oommen

3. Shri. John Mathai *[Signature]*

4. Dr. George Chackacherry *[Signature]*

5. Sri. S. Ajayakumar *[Signature]*

6. Dr. K. M. Khaleef *[Signature]*

7. Sri. P. Sreekumaran Nair FIE *[Signature]*

8. Dr. K. Harikrishnan

Day 2 (23.05.2017)

Item No. 73.18

Environmental clearance for expansion of existing Medical campus project in Sy. Nos. 157/2, 159/3, 158/1, 157/0, 158/1, 159/3, 158/2, 158/5, 159/1, 159/2, 157, 159/3, 164/3, 164/7, 164/4, 163/3, 158/7, 158/3, 158/4, 166/2, 166/1, 156/3, 164/1, 156/3, 164/1, 164/2, 156/4, at Edappal Village, Ponnani Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Mr.Rajan Achuthan Nair, Secretary, M/s Sreevalsam Educational Trust.(File No. 1123/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Mr.Rajan Achuthan Nair, M/s Sreevalsam Educational Trust

The proponent did not turn up for presenting the details, so the Committee decided to defer the item .

Item No.73.19

Environmental clearance for the proposed Granite Building Stone Quarry project in survey Nos. 417/3, 417/5, 417/7, 417/8, 416/1, 416/5 (pt), Elanji Village, at Muvattupuzha Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri K.I.Paulose, Managing Director, M/s Luxury Sand Kerala Pvt. Ltd. (File No1124/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Sri K.I.Paulose, M/s Luxury Sand Kerala Pvt. Ltd.

Further to the intimation, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.5 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.10 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local body.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection.**

Item No. 73.20

Environmental clearance for the proposed Super Speciality Hospital Project in Sy. Nos.402/5-2,6,6-1,7,17-1-1, 403/1,11,12-1, 404/1-1,4-1,5-1,6- 1, 405/8-1,9-1-1,11-2,13,13-1,14-1-1,14-2,15 at Mel Thonnakkal Village, Thiruvananthapuram Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala of Mr.Abdul Rahman Nazarudeen, Managing Director, Kerala Medicity Medical Services Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 1125/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Paulose Jyothanti

Project Proponent : Mr.Abdul Rahman Nazarudeen, Kerala Medicity Medical Services Pvt. Ltd.

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan.

The Committee directed the proponent to submit the following details/clarifications.

- a) A convincing water balance statement and details of dependable source of water
- b) Details of parking facility with enhanced provisions
- c) Details of cutting and filling and measures to ensure the stability of the steep cut faces.

As CSR component the proponent agreed to give free treatment to 50 BPL patients suffering from serious ailments referred to them by the local body.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection.**

Item No. 73.21

Environmental clearance for the proposed construction of Multistoreyed Residential Apartment 'Swouparnika City' in Sy. Nos.676/1-1, 676/1-2-1, 676/20-1-2, 676/20-1-4, 676/1-2, 676/1-3, 676/1-4, 676/22, 676/23-4-1, 676/14, 676/15-1, 676/16, 680/22-1, 676/1-1-1, 676/13-6, 676/13-2, 676/13-4-1, 676/19-3, 680/13-1, 680/13-1-2, 676-1-3-1 at Nemom Village and Thiruvananthapuram Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala of Mr Ajith Prasad, General Manager , M/s Sowparnika Projects and Infrastructure Pvt Ltd (File No. 1126/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Mr Ajith Prasad, M/s Sowparnika Projects and Infrastructure Pvt Ltd

The proponent did not turn up for presenting the details, so the Committee decided to defer the item.

Item No. 73.22

Environmental clearance for the proposed Establishment of Thrissur Zoological Park, Wildlife Conservation & Research Centre in Puthur Village, Ollukkara Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala of Sri Rajesh Ravindran, IFS, Chief Conservator of Forests, Thrissur Zoological Park (File No. 1127/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Sri Rajesh Ravindran, IFS, Thrissur Zoological Park

Padma Jayanthi

The proponent did not turn up for presenting the details, so the Committee decided to defer the item.

Item No. 73.23

Environmental clearance for the proposed Residential Building Project 'Artech Life Spaces' in Sy. Nos. 398/2, 398/2-3 at Karimanal, Attipra Village and Thiruvananthapuram Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala of Mr.J.Bharat Samuel, Artech Realtors Pvt Ltd (File No. 1128/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent : Mr.J.Bharat Samuel, Artech Realtors Pvt Ltd

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.40 lakh over a period of 3 years for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local body.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection**. The Committee also directed the proponent to submit detailed water balance statement and water yield test study report.

Item No.73.24

Environment Clearance for the Expansion of Housing Project jointly developed by M/s Ayles Properties & Developers Pvt. Ltd. and M/s Skyline Builders in Survey Nos. 699/2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, Kakkanad Village, Thrikkakara Municipality, Kanayannur Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala State by Mr.Shajith K, (Authorized Signatory), M/s Ayles Properties & Developers Pvt. Ltd. & M/s Skyline Builders, (File No. 1073/EC3/2016/SEIAA)

Project Proponent : Mr.Shajith K, M/s Ayles Properties & Developers Pvt. Ltd. & M/s Skyline Builders

The proposal was appraised by SEAC considering Form I, Form IA, Conceptual plan, field visit report and all other documents and details provided by the proponent. The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to the general conditions in addition to the following specific conditions.

Parolma Mahanta

1. The built up area of construction is restricted as per existing KMBR/KPBR .
2. The copy of the layout of the project from the Chief Town Planner to be filed and as part of records.

The proponent agreed to set apart an amount of Rs.25 lakh over a period of 3 years for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community to be taken up in consultation with the local body.

Item No.73.25

Environment Clearance for proposed Mixed use Development Project in Survey Nos. 135/1, 141, Vazakala Village Ernakulam District, Kerala State by Mr.Ravisankar.T.N., M/s Prime Meridian Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 1090/EC3/SEIAA/2017)

Project Proponent : Mr.Ravisankar.T.N., M/s Prime Meridian Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A , conceptual plan and site inspection report of the sub-committee.

The Committee expressed its concern about the ecological sensitivity of the project area. The project is proposed in a land proposed to be reclaimed from a large wetland existing on the western side of the sea port –air port road near Thuthiyoor. The access to the plot is through a newly formed road meandering through this wetland. This project apart from its own environmental impacts is bound to set in motion the complete reclamation of the adjoining lands. After examining all the aspects the Committee decided to have a closer look at the project by deputing a larger team of Committee members and hence decided to defer the item.

Item No.73.26

Environmental clearance for proposed Development of building space Project in Sy.No. 266/5 at Angamaly Village and Aluva Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri.Prem Kumar SankaraPanicker, Chief Engineer, for M/s INKID LTD. (File No. 1093/EC/SEIAA/2017)

Project Proponent : Sri. Prem Kumar SankaraPanicker, for M/s INKID LTD

The proposal was appraised by SEAC considering Form I, Form IA, Conceptual plan, field visit report and all other documents and details provided by the proponent. The

Pradme. Sankar

committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to the general conditions in addition to the following specific conditions.

I. The rainwater storage pond shall be enhanced to a minimum capacity to hold 3000 KL

Item No.73.27 **Environmental Clearance for the proposed Residential Project (“Noel Ecoden”) in Re-survey nos. 468/9, 469/2, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 484/1, 2, 7 & 8, Vazahakkala Village, Thrikkakara Municipality, Kanayannur Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala State by Mr.John Thomas, Managing Partner, M/s Noel Villas & Apartments (File No.1101/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)**

Project Proponent : Mr. John Thomas, M/s Noel Villas & Apartments

The proposal was appraised by SEAC considering Form I, Form IA, Conceptual plan, field visit report and all other documents and details provided by the proponent. The committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to the general conditions in addition to the following specific conditions.

1. *Storm water drainage shall be ensured by connecting to the drain passing through the adjacent apartment project promoted by the proponent.*

The proponent agreed to set apart an amount of Rs.25 lakh over a period of 3 years for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community to be taken up in consultation with the local body.

Item No. 73.28 **Environmental clearance for the Proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 31/1 Part 1, Part-2, 31/1 Part-3, 30/1, 30/2 pt, 31/1-1, 31/1-2 pt, 31/1-3, 31/1-5, 31/1-6, 31/1-7, 31/1-10, 31/5, 31/6, 31/7 at Kalanjoor Village, Konni Taluk, Pathammamthitta District, Kerala by Mr.Ajai Sundaresh (File No. 926/SEIAA/EC4/3892/2015)**

Project Proponent : Mr.Ajai Sundaresh

Consequent to the changes in the ownership and area, the 69th meeting of the Committee had recommended to reprocess the application . Accordingly SEIAA accepted a revised application and decided to consider it. The Committee appraised the proposal based

Padma Mahant

on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report, field visit report and all other documents submitted along with Form I and decided to **Recommend for Issuance of EC** subject to the general conditions along with following specific condition.

1. *If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*
2. *Storm water flow to the south-eastern part must be channelized into another RWH which need to be developed during the progressive quarry operation.*

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.13 lakh (recurring) and Rs.12 lakh(non-recurring)for taking up welfare activities for the local community in consultation with the local body.

Item No.73.29

Environmental clearance for proposed expansion of existing hospital project in Sy. No. 1486/1-4-3-2, 1486/1-4-3, 1486/1-1, 1486/1-4-2, 1486/3-2, 1486, 1486, 1486/3-1, 1486, 1486/1-4-3-2, 1486/1-4-3-1, 1486/1-4-1, 1486/4-18, 1486/2, 1479/3-5, 1479/3-6, 1479/3-4, 1479/3-3, 1482/1-1, 1482/1-5, 1482/4-30, 1482/4-29, 1482/4-23, 1482/4-27, 1482/1-4-1, 1482/1-4-2, 1482/1-2, 1486/2, 1486/1-4-3-1, 1482/1-1, 1486/2-1, 1482/1-1-2, 1482/4-22, 1482/4-22-7, 1482/4-12, 1482/4-25, 1482/1-8, 1482/1-6, 1482/1-3, 1482/1-4, 1482/1-7, 1482/1-2, 1482/4-24-2, 1482/3-2-1-1-2-1, 1482/3-2-1-2, 1482/3-2-1, 1482/4-22, 1482/3-2-1-1-1, 1482/3-2-1-2, 1482/3-2-1-2-1, 1482/4-18-1, 1482/4-18-1, 1482/3, 1482/3-2-1, 1482/3-1-1, 1482/3-1, 1486/1-4-4, 1486/1-5-1-1, 1482/4-26, 1482/4-27, 1482/4-27, 1482/4-27-1, 1482/4-27, 1482/4-27-1, 1482/1, 1482/1-1-1, 1482/3-3-1, 1482/3-3-2, 1482/3-3, 1485/1, 1485/2, 1485/3, 1485/4, 1485/5, 1485/7, 1485/8, 1485/9, 1485/10Kadakampally Village, Trivandrum Municipal Corporation, Trivandrum Taluk & District, Kerala State by Mr.E.M. Najeeb, Executive Director, M/s KIMS Healthcare Management Ltd. (File No. 1085/EC1/SEIAA/2016)

Padma Yanti

Project Proponent : Mr.E.M. Najeeb, M/s KIMS Healthcare Management Ltd

The Committee appraised the proposal on the basis of the Form I and Form IA application, conceptual plan, environment management plan, field inspection report of the sub-committee, explanations submitted by the proponent and other connected documents.

The sub-committee during its site inspection has observed that the total built up area of the existing two buildings of the hospital complex is more than 20000 sq.m and there could be a possible case of violation. Accordingly the proponent was asked to explain the

position. In the written explanations the proponent has admitted that the total built up area of the two existing buildings is 37160 m². But according to him if the following two aspects are taken into consideration there is no violation.

1) The existing two buildings are in two different plots separated by a PWD road . Hence as per the building rules they are to be considered as separate structures and treated accordingly. Hence combined area cannot be reckoned for considering for the EC. Further, the first building of 25640 sq.m was completed much before the 2006 EIA notification and received completion report on 2.8.2005. Hence there is no violation.

The Committee rejected the above argument as the hospital as such is functioning as a single unit intimately sharing common amenities and services and whatsoever impacts it is making on the environment has to be assessed together.

2) As regards the second argument the proponent argues that the permit for the second building was granted on 24.4.2006 (Before the EIA notification) for 3 floors. Though the application for revised permit was submitted well before the EIA notification, due to administrative delay it was issued only on 3.2.2007. According to the proponent the EIA notification is applicable only to constructions commenced after the publication of EIA notification on 14.09.2006. In this case construction commenced well before the above date and hence there is no violation.

The EIA notification dated 14.09 2006 does not offer any such relief to the on-going constructions. Considering the circumstances of the case the Committee observed that there is a non-intentional technical violation. It deserves a lenient consideration. If the decision of SEIAA is to proceed further against the violation it may be done in accordance with procedures prevailed prior to 14.03.2017, the date on which MoEF issued notification revising procedures for treating cases involving violations, as the delay in processing the application received in SEIAA on 10.11.2016 is due to the administrative shortcomings.

The committee took the commitments intimated by the proponent vide letter dated 29.03.2017 into record and decided to recommend for issuance of EC subject to the general condition along with the specific conditions

1. Enhance total car parking facility for 648 cars which will include mechanical, multilevel and conventional car parking.
2. Parking facilities for 650 two wheelers
3. During construction stage proponent agreed to provide parking facility in a space away from the site with free to and fro shuttle service.
4. Rainwater storage capacity shall be increased to 3500 KL.
5. The sewage will be treated and recycled with the site itself.
6. Exit and entry will be as per revised plan submitted.
7. Emergency assembly points as shown in the revised conceptual plan should be provided.
8. Adequate safety measures shall be ensured to prevent slope failure of steep cutting.

Padma Yashanti

9. Excess earth excavated shall be disposed off without causing environmental problem.

The proponent agreed to spend Rs.1 crore over a period of 3 years for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local body. For the subsequent years SEIAA may obtain an appropriate commitment from the proponent.

Item No. 73.30 Environmental clearance for proposed Government Medical College at Sy.No.643 at Iravan Village, Kozhencherry Taluk, Aruvapulam Panchayat, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by Sri. Dr. P.G.R Pillai M.S, (File No. 810.A/SEIAA/EC4/2373/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Dr. P.G.R Pillai M.S

The Committee examined the revised EMP submitted by the proponent along with the form I, site inspection report and all other documents. It is observed that the revised EMP is not in accordance with the prevailing rules regarding the management and handling of biomedical waste. Hence the Committee decided to have a personal hearing of the proponent.

Item No.73.31 Environment Clearance for Proposed Commercial Complex Project ("4-M Mall") in Survey No. 195/11, 195/5, 195/6, 195/16-2-57, Thodupuzha Village, Thodupuzha Municipality, Thodupuzha Taluk, Idukki District, Kerala State by Mr. K.V. JOSE, Authorized Signatory, (File No. 1067/EC4/2016/SEIAA)

Project Proponent : Sri. Mr. K.V. Jose

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A, conceptual plan and other connected documents.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.10 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.11 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local body.

The Committee decided to **defer the item**. The conceptual plan should be modified incorporating the following

1. Main internal road of 6m need widening to at least 7m
2. Rainwater harvesting facility with storage of at least 5 days need is to be provided
3. Disabled parking is to be ensured

4. Drawing for the assembly point in case of emergency.

The Committee also directed the proponent to submit the following additional clarifications on the following points.

1. Details of material recovery facility and disposal of excess waste.
2. Additional area should be allotted for Green Belt which may be clubbed with the open parking.
3. Solar Energy should be quantified.
4. Demolition of the existing building and its disposal.
5. Write up on the solid waste disposal mechanism (as per SWM Rules 2016) at the site during operational stage.
6. Yield test for the well to assess the dependable source of water.

The meeting ended at 5.00 pm with vote of thanks to the Chairman and Members.

Padma Mahanti

Smt. Padma Mahanti IFS

Secretary
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

V

Sri. V. Gopinathan IFS (Rtd)

Chairman
State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

List of members present 2nd Day (31.05.2017)

1. Sri. S. Ajayakumar

S. Ajayakumar

5. Shri. P. Sreekumaran Nair FIE

P. Sreekumaran Nair

2. Dr. K. M. Khaleel

K. M. Khaleel

6. Shri. John Mathai

John Mathai

3. Prof. (Dr.) Keshav Mohan

Keshav Mohan

7. Dr. George Chackacherry

George Chackacherry

4. Dr. Oommen. V. Oommen

8. Dr. K. Harikrishnan

